

Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 94 (1996) 67-76

Effect of carbonate addition on the photocatalytic decomposition of liquid water over a ZrO₂ catalyst

Kazuhiro Sayarna, Hironori Arakawa *****

National Institute of Materials and Chemical Research (NIMC). Higashi 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Received 30 May 1995; accepted 25 August 1995

Abstract

The stoichiometric photodecomposition of water into H_2 and O_2 proceeds over ZrO_2 powder suspended in aqueous solutions in the absence of loaded metals such as Pt, RuO₂ and NiO₁. The activity is affected significantly by the pressure of the reaction system, the types of additive and the pH of the solution. Specifically, the addition of carbonate, such as NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃, leads to a remarkable increase in the activity and stability of the gas evolution rate vs. the reactor pressure. The surface of $ZrO₂$ was covered with carbonate species by the addition of carbonate salt. It is thought that carbonate species on ZrO₂ play an important role in the desorption of O₂ via the carbonate radical. In contrast, the promotion effect of platinum loading on water splitting is negligible. This bebaviour can be explained in terms of the backward reaction (H_2O formation from H_2 and O_2) on Pt and the barrier height of the semiconductor-metal junction at which electrons in the conduction band migrate. Furthermore, it is concluded that the suppression of the backward reaction on Pt is essential for overall water splitting into H_2 and O_2 , and this is one of the important functions of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃. Pt on ZrO₂ in carbonate solutions exists as an oxide; therefore the effect of the backward reaction is suppressed.

Keywords: Photocatalytic decomposition; Liquid water; ZrO₂ catalyst; Carbonate addition

!. Introduction

As a method for the direct conversion of photon energy to chemical energy and for energy storage similar to photosynthesis, the photodecomposition of water into H_2 and O_2 using semiconductor photocatalysts has been investigated in detail. However, successful catalyst systems which enable stoichiometric water decomposition (ratio of $H₂$ to $O₂$ is two) to occur are rare, and their characteristics are very different. For example, $RuO₂-TiO₂$ catalyst [1] and NaOH-coated M-TiO₂ (M=Pt, Rh [2] and NiO_x [3]) catalysts lead to the decomposition of water vapour in a gas phase reaction, but the backward reaction $(2H_2+O_2\rightarrow 2H_2O)$ on the noble metal is very fast in the gas phase and these catalyst systems cannot work in the liquid phase. For M-SrTiO₃ (M=NiO_x) [4] and RhO_x [5]), the decomposition of pure water in the liquid phase occurs, and the activity is increased by addition of NaOH. M-A₄Nb₆' D_{17} [6] (M = RuO₂, NiO_x and Pt, A = K and Rb), $RuO_2-Na_2Ti_6O_{13}$ [7] and $RuO_2-BaTi_4O_9$ [8] are unique photocatalys:s, which have layered and tunnel structures. It is assumed that the loaded metal is located in the interlayer spaces or pockets of the tunnel structure. The pho-

1010-6030/96/\$15.00 © 1996 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved *SSDI* 1010-6030(95)04204-0

tocatalytic activities of these systems are also affected by the solution conditions, such as the pH and additives. All semiconductor photocatalysts reported so far for the stoichiometric photodecompositioa of water require loaded metals or metal oxides, such as Pt, Rh, $RuO₂$ and NiO_x .

Recently, we have found that the addition of a large quantity of carbonate salts, such as Na_2CO_3 , K_2CO_3 and NaHCO₃, to a Pt-TiO₂ suspension leads to efficient photocatalytic decomposition even in the liquid phase [91. This effect is associated with the CO_3^{2-} (or HCO_3^-) anion and not with the cation or pH. We have carried out experiments on the catalysts mentioned above in $Na₂CO₃$ solution, and have found that the activities of most of the catalysts increase on addition of carbonate salts [10,1 ! 1. Furthermore, many kinds of metal-semiconductor photocatalyst have been screened using the " $Na₂CO₃$ method", and new photocatalysts, such as $M-Ta_2O_5$ ($M \equiv NiO_x$ and RuO_2), Pt-Na₂Ti₆O₁₃, Pt- $K_2Ti_6O_{13}$ and M-ZrO₂ (M = Pt, RuO₂, Cu and Au) have been discovered for stoichiometric water decomposition [11,12]. Of these, the $ZrO₂$ catalysts have special characteristics. The photodecomposition of pure water proceeds over $ZrO₂$ in the absence of loaded metals [12]. The splitting of water over $ZrO₂$ is a catalytic reaction, because the ratio of $H₂$ to $O₂$ is exactly two and the rate of gas evolution is constant over a

^{*} Corresponding author.

long period. $ZrO₂$ semiconductor has a wide band gap $(E_s=5.0$ eV) and a highly negative flat band potential $(\overrightarrow{E_n} = -1.0 \text{ eV} \text{ vs. normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)}, \text{pH})$ 0 [13]) compared with TiO₂ ($E_{\rm g}$ = 3.0 eV, $E_{\rm fb}$ = $+0.05$ eV vs. NHE, pH 0 [14]) and SrTiO₃ ($E_g = 3.2$ eV, $E_{fb} = -0.2$ eV vs. NHE, pH 0 [15]). The activity of $ZrO₂$ is increased on addition of carbonate salts; furthermore, the evolution of CO (photocatalytic reduction product of $CO₂$) is observed from NaHCO₃ aqueous solution. The mechanism of the photodecomposition of water over $ZrO₂$ seems to be simpler than that of the metal-semiconductor systems. Therefore it is inferred that we will obtain more fundamental information on the effect of additives using only the semiconductor itself. Furthermore, the function of loaded metals will become clearer by compared between $ZrO₂$ and M-ZrO₂.

In this study, on the basis of the concepts mentioned above, we report the effect of additives, including several carbonate salts, the pressure in the reaction system, the function of loaded metals and the mechanism of the photocatalytic decomposition of water in a semiconductor catalyst system.

2~ Experimental details

The photocatalytic reaction was performed using a closed gas circulating system with an inner irradiation-type reactor. The light source was a 400 W high-pressure Hg lamp (Riko) Kagaku) covered with a quartz jacket to keep the reactor temperature constant (20 $^{\circ}$ C) by cooling water, which was recycled through a thermostatic bath, ZrO₂ semiconductor powder was supplied by Soekawa Chemicals (99.9%), Catalyst loaded with Pt was prepared by an in situ photochemical deposition method using H_2PtCl_6 . The reaction mixture was prepared by the introduction of semiconductor powder $(1 g)$, a fixed amount of additives and distilled water (350 ml) into a quartz reactor. They were mixed well using a magnetic stirrer and deaerated thoroughly. Argon gas was introduced up to 35 Tort into the gas circulating reaction system, and the first run was started by irradiation. The pressure of 35 Tort represents the sum of the argon pressure (approximately 18 Torr) and the water vapour pressure (approximately 17 Torr). After several hours of irradiation, the gases evolved in the first run were pumped away and argon was introduced again, and the next run was started. The rates of evolution of $H₂$, O₂ and CO were measured by an on-line gas chromatograph (TCD, molecular sieve 5 Å , Ar carrier gas) and a volumetric measurement using a pressure sensor. The dead volume of the gas phase was 270 ml.

The zeta potential of $ZrO₂$ aqueous suspensions and the conductivity of the solutions were measured by a Lazer Zee meter (FEN KEM, model 501). The Fourier transform IR (FT, IR) spectrum was measured using a Shimadzu DR-8000. X-Ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) and ultravioletvisible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-DRS) were obtained by a Shimadzu ESCA 850 and a Shimadzu MPS-2000. X. Ray fluorescence (XRF) was measured by a Seiko SEA 2010 to confirm the amount of platinum loading.

3. Results and discussion

*3. I. Effect of additives on the photocatalytic activity of ZrO*₂

Table 1 shows the rate of H_2 and O_2 evolution from ZrO₂ aqueous suspensions containing several additives. Water can be decomposed over ZrO_2 catalyst stoichiometrically independent of the additives, i.e. the ratio of H_2 to O_2 evolution is almost two in all cases. However, the additives have a major effect on the rate of gas evolution. In particular, the

Table I

Rate of H_2 and O_2 evolution from ZrO₂ aqueous suspensions containing several additives; the zeta potential of ZrO₂ and the conductivity of the solutions are also given

| Additive [*] | Rate of gas evolution (μ mol h ⁻¹) ^b | | Zeta potential | Conductivity | pH |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| | H, | \mathbf{O}_2 | (mV) | $(mS cm^{-1})$ | |
| None | 72 | 36 | -29 | < 0.001 | 7.9 |
| NaOH | 242 | 120 | -36 | 6.3 | 12.7 |
| Na ₃ PO ₄ | 228 | 113 | -49 | 4.1 | 12.0 |
| Na ₂ CO ₃ | 378 | 190 | -44 | 3.5 | 11.2 |
| NaBO ₂ | 164 | 84 | -37 | 2.6 | 10.9 |
| No ₂ HPO ₄ | 129 | 65 | -53 | 2.7 | 9.2 |
| NaHCO ₃ | 607 | 319 | -42 | 3.0 | 8.4 |
| Na ₂ SO ₄ | 112 | 56 | -11 | 3.6 | 7.5 |
| NaCl | 91 | 48 | -4 | 3.9 | 6.0 |
| HCI | 46 | 19 | | | 2.9 |
| H_3PO_4 | 65 | 33 | | | 2.8 |
| H_2SO_4 | 85 | 39 | | | 2.6 |

 $2rO₂$, 1.0 g; water, 350 ml; inner irradiated quartz cell; high-pressure Hg lamp (400 W),

 a Concentration of Na $^+$ and H $^+$ was 0.012 mol per 350 ml of water,

^b initial activity in the second run. CO evolution was negligible.

Fig. 1. Relationship between the rate of H_2 evolution and the pH of several kinds of solution over ZrO_2 . The activity was measured as the initial H_2 evolution rate in the second run. Na⁺: 0.012 mol in 350 ml of water. Plot of the evolution of $O₂$ was omitted.

addition of $NaHCO₃$ increases the rate by a factor of eight, and this factor is five in the case of Na_2CO_3 addition. In the case of NaHCO₃ addition, the rate of gas evolution is constant for a long period, and the total amount of evolved gas (90 mmol of H₂ for 150 h) is more than that of the α at alyst (8.1) mmol) and additive (12 mmol) in the system. No change is observed in the $ZrO₂$ catalysts used (X-ray diffraction (XRD) and gravimetric measurement). Thus the splitting of water over $ZrO₂$ is indeed a catalytic reaction. Fig. 1 shows the relationship between the rate of H_2 evolution and the pH of the solution. The activities with all additives, except for carbonate salts, increase with increasing pH of the solution; furthermore, these evolution rates (symbols in Fig. 1) are located on one line. As a result, it is suggested that both $HCO₃$ ⁻ and $CO₃$ ²- anions improve the gas evolution rate significantly, but other anions hardly affect the activity. The activity is controlled by the pH of the solution, and a high pH improves the activity in dilute aqueous solutions.

In the case of the electrolysis of NaCI and HCI solutions, the Cl^- ion is more susceptible to oxidation than the $OH^$ ion. The ratio of H_2/O_2 increases by more than twofold with an increase in the concentration of NaCI and HCi in the photocatalysis over $ZrO₂$. These results indicate that the evolution of O_2 decreases and the evolution of Cl_2 increases with increasing concentration of CI⁻. O_2 evolution precedes Cl₂ evolution under these dilute solution conditions.

The conductivity of the solution and the zeta potential of $ZrO₂$ are also shown in Table 1. The conductivity is dependent on both the concentration and species of the ion. The activity of $ZrO₂$ increases slightly on addition of $Na₂SO₄$ and NaCl, while the conductivity of the solution is much improved (more than three orders of magnitude compared with the pure water system). Therefore it is suggested that there is no meaningful relationship between the photocatalytic activity and the conductivity of the solution. The zeta potential is a factor which represents the in situ surface condition of the oxide powder in aqueous solution. The zeta potential of the oxide is affected by both the pH of the solution and the specific

Fig. 2. Effect of alkaline ion on the rate of gas evolution over $ZrO₂$ (A = alkaline ion, 0.012 mol in 350 ml of water): \mathfrak{M} , H₂; \mathfrak{M} , O₂; -.., pH.

adsorption of the anions. A high pH reduces the zeta potential because of the dissociation of surface hydroxyl groups. The zeta potentials of $ZrO₂$ suspended in NaHCO₃, Na₂CO₃, $Na₃PO₄$ and $Na₂HPO₄$ aqueous solutions are more negative than those in pure water and NaOH solution. The pH values of the carbonate and phosphate aqueous solutions are located between those of pure water and NaOH; therefore it is concluded that anions such as HCO_3^- , $CO_3^2^-$, $PO_4^3^-$ and $HPO₄²⁻$ adsorb on the ZrO₂ surface.

Fig. 2 shows the effect of several alkaline cations on the rate of gas evolution over $ZrO₂$. The shifts in pH by the substitution of cations in AOH, AHCO₃ and A_2CO_3 (A = Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) are negligible. The activities of $ZrO₂$ in AOH aqueous solutions (AOH concentration, 0.012 mol in 350 ml of water) are affected slightly by the substitution of cations. In the case of $A HCO₃$ and $A₂CO₃$, the Na salts show the highest activity.

The addition of inorganic salts to $ZrO₂$ suspensions leads to a change in pH, conductivity of the solution, presence of anions and cations, ionic strength and zeta potential of $ZrO₂$. It is clear that the carbonate anions (HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻) and pH of the solution (concentration of H^+ and OH^-) are important factors in the activity of the photocatalytic decomposition of water over $ZrO₂$. In contrast, the conductivity of the solution and alkaline cations and anions other than car. bonate anions are minor, secondary factors.

3.2. Effect of pressure in the reaction system

Fig. 3 shows the time dependence of H_2 evolution over the $ZrO₂$ catalyst suspended in several solutions. There are large differences in the time dependence between carbonates and other salts. The addition of NaHCO₃ or Na₂CO₃ leads to a constant rate of gas evolution up to atmospheric pressure. However, the rate of gas evolution from the other aqueous solutions and pure water decreases gradually with increasing reaction time. It is believed that this decrease in activity is caused by the increase in pressure in the reaction system and is not related to the deactivation of the catalyst. The results in Fig. 4 and Table 2 demonstrate that this explanation may

Fig. 3. Time course of H_2 evolution over $ZrO₂$ in several solutions: (a) NaHCO₃; (b) Na₂CO₃; (c) NaOH; (d) pure water; (e) NaBO₂; (f) Na₃PO₄; (g) Na₂HPO₄; (h) Na₂SO₄; (i) NaCl. Plot of the evolution of O₂ was omitted,

be reasonable, (a) Initially, the reactor was evacuated to remove air and was then filled with argon up to 35 Ton; the first run was started by irradiation, The rate of gas evolution decreased gradually with time. (b) After 30 h, the evolved gas was pumped away and argon was introduced again up to 35 Torr. The initial rate was almost the same as that in the first run. (c) After 50 h, the gas phase was pumped away again and argon was introduced up to 600 Torr. The evolution of H_2 and O_2 was depressed significantly, (d) Next, the gas phase pressure was adjusted to 35 Torr, and the activity was recovered as in (a) and (b), Table 2 shows the comparative ratio of the activities at 600 Torr and 35 Torr (reactor pressure of argon) in $ZrO₂$ suspension with various kinds of additives. The pressure in the reactor significantly affects the rate of gas evolution, and the addition of carbonate salts leads to the stability of the activity vs. the gas phase pressure. This influence of reactor pressure was also observed in Pt-TiO₂ [9], $RuO₂-Ta₂O₅$ and NiO_x-Ta₂O₅ [11].

It is unexpected and very interesting that the activity is affected by the inert argon gas. The same experiments were performed using He and N_2 instead of argon, and the same pressure dependence was observed in all cases. The depend= ence of the activity on the pressure of the inert gas can be explained as follows: (i) the gases produced suffer backward reactions on the catalyst; (ii) the gases produced diffuse as bubbles or gaseous molecules which possess a certain volume.

With regard to point (i), $ZrO₂$ itself cannot catalyse wate. formation from H_2 and O_2 because H_2 dissociation on the $ZrO₂$ surface is negligible. Therefore we must emphasize the importance of the photoadsorption of $O₂$ with an electron. The electron in the conduction band of semiconductors, such as TiO₂ and ZrO₂, has the potential to reduce O_2 to O_2 ^{*}, In practice, this phenomenon has also been reported over $TiO₂$ catalysts as the photoadsorption of $O₂$ [16-18]. This reaction is reversible, and $O_2^{\bullet-}$ (a) (adsorbed species on ZrO_2 , no volume) is oxidized by the hole in the valence band

$$
O_2(g) \longrightarrow O_2(aq) \longrightarrow O_2(a) \xrightarrow{q^{-}} O_2^{+}(a) \qquad (1)
$$

$$
O_2^{\bullet-}(a) \xrightarrow{h^+} O_2(a) \longrightarrow O_2(aq) \longrightarrow O_2(g) \tag{2}
$$

 $O_2(g)$ represents the gaseous O_2 molecule which has a certain volume, and O_2 (aq) represents the dissolved O_2 molecule which, ideally, has no volume. The decomposition of water is represented as

$$
2H_2O \text{ (or H+)} \xrightarrow{e^-} \xrightarrow{e^-} \xrightarrow{e^-} 2H_2(g) \qquad (3)
$$

$$
2H_2O \text{ (or } OH^-) \xrightarrow{h^*} \xrightarrow{h^*} \xrightarrow{h^*} O_2(g) \tag{4}
$$

Reaction (2) is part of reaction (4) . Electrons are consumed by reactions (1) and (3) . Therefore it is suggested that electrons react with H^+ and $O_2(a)$ competitively. If the rate of reaction (1) increases, the rate of reaction (3) will decrease, and the rate of decomposition of water will decrease. In other words, $O_2(a)$ and $O_2^{n-1}(a)$ act as recombination sites for electrons (e^-) and holes (h^+) due to the repetition of the reversible reaction between $O_2(a)$ and $O_2^{\bullet -}$ (a). For effective water splitting it is necessary to suppress the photoadsorption of $O₂$.

With regard to point (ii), it is considered that some of the gases produced diffuse as bubbles, because the evolution of many bubbles was observed during the photoreaction. The mechanism of gas evolution accompanied by bubbles is very complicated. The total gas pressure (P) of the gas phase above the liquid phase is expressed as $P = P_{H20} + P_{Ar} +$ $P_{H2} + P_{Q2}$. The total gas pressure in the bubble (P^b) is $P^{\text{b}} = P_{\text{H2O}}^{\text{b}} + P_{\text{Ar}}^{\text{b}} + P_{\text{H2}}^{\text{b}} + P_{\text{O2}}^{\text{b}}$. P and P^{b} are the same as the total pressure in the closed system $(P = P^b)$, and moreover $P_{H2O} = P_{H2O}$ ^b. It is considered that the amount of argon in the bubble is very small compared with that in the gas phase $(P_{Ar}^{\ b} \approx 0)$, because the concentrations of $H_2(aq)$ and $O_2(aq)$ close to $ZrO₂$ are much greater than that of $Ar(aq)$ and the

time / h

Fig. 4, Time course of H₂ (\circledast) and O₂ (O) evolution over ZrO₂ in pure water, Initial pressure of the system in (a), (b) and (d) was 35 Torr, Initial pressure in (c) was 600 Torr adjusted by argon.

Comparative ratio of the activity at 600 Torr and 35 Torr of pressure in the reaction system over ZiO_2 suspended in various solutions

Pressure was adjusted by the introduction of argon.

 $^{\circ}$ R_{M2} (35 Torr) is the activity in Table 1.

Table **2**

rate of gas evolution is faster than the diffusion rate of argon through the liquid phase. Therefore $P_{H2}^b + P_{O2}^b \approx$ $P_{\text{Ar}} + P_{\text{H2}} + P_{\text{O2}}$. This means that the partial pressures of H₂ and O_2 molecules in the bubble increase on introduction of argon above the liquid phase; therefore the conversion rate form O_2 (aq) to O_2 (g) decreases with an increase in the total pressure of the system. The O_2 molecule is nearer to the ZrO_2 surface, and is more susceptible to reaction with an electron. Furthermore, the dissolved O_2 (aq) is more susceptible to reaction with an electron than gaseous $O_2(g)$. Therefore the escape rate of gaseous $O_2(g)$ and bubbles from the liquid phase to the gas phase is slower with an increase in the total pressure. Consequently, the photoadsorption of O_2 onto ZrO_2 increases with increasing total pressure of the system and the rate of water splitting decreases because of the recombination of h^+ and e^- .

3.3, Effect of carbonate addition

The significant effects of carbonate salts on the activity of $ZrO₂$ were studied in detail. The dependence of both the activity and pH on the amount of additives is shown in Fig. 5. The activity of $ZrO₂$ suspended in $Na₂CO₃$ (a) and $NaHCO₃$ (b) aqueous solutions increases with increasing amount of salt up to 0.012 mol of Na⁺ in 350 ml of water, and then gradually decreases with increasing amount of salt. $ZrO₂$ in NaHCO₃ solution has a higher activity than that in $Na₂CO₃$ at all concentrations. The evolution of CO is observed only from NaHCO₃ aqueous solution. The rate of CO evolution increases with increasing amount of NaHCO₃, and further reduces products such as HCOOH, CH₄, CH₃OH and carbon is not detected. No CO is observed from $Na₂CO₃$ solution. Fig, 6 shows the dependence of the activity over $ZrO₂$ on the pH in the presence of carbonate. The pH was adjusted by mixing NaHCO₃, Na₂CO₃ and NaOH; the total amount of carbonate salts was constant $(CO_3^2$ ⁻ + $HCO₃ = +H₂CO₃ = 0.012$ mol per 350 ml of water). The activity gradually decreases with increasing pH. Fig. 7 shows the concentrations of OH⁻, $CO₃²⁻$, HCO₃⁻ and H₂CO₃ derived from theoretical calculations in the reaction solution shown in Fig. 6. The concentration of $HCO₃$ ⁻ decreases with pH and that of CO₃²⁻ increases.

The observations of a decrease in activity at high concentrations of carbonate salts and a higher activity of $ZrO₂$ in NaHCO₃ solution then in Na₂CO₃ solution can be interpreted on the basis of the UV absorption of the carbonate anion itself. Fig, 8 shows the UV absorption spectra of several solutions and the diffuse reflectance spectrum of $ZrO₂$. The

Fig. 5. Dependence of the activity and pH on the amount of salt: (a) Na₂CO₃; (b) NaHCO₃; (c) NaOH; Φ **, H₂;** \bigcirc **, O₂;** \triangle **CO;** \mathbb{B} **, pH.**

Fig. 6. Dependence of the activity over Z_IO₂ on the pH (total amount of carbonate salts was constant $({\rm CO_3}^{2-} + {\rm HCO_3}^- + {\rm H_2CO_3} = 0.012$ mol in 350 ml of water)): \bullet , H_2 ; \circ , O_2 .

Fig. 7. Ion concentration at different pH values: (a) Na⁺; (b) OH⁻; (c) CO₃²; (d) HCO₃⁻.

Fig. 8. UV absorption spectra of solutions and diffuse reflectance spectrum of ZrO₂: (a) NaHCO₃ (0.034 mol 1^{-1}); (b) NaHCO₃ (0.94 mol 1^{-1}); (c) $Na₂CO₃$ (0.034 mol I⁻¹); (d) $Na₂CO₃$ (0.94 mol I⁻¹); (e) $Na₂CO₆$ solution; (f) $ZrO₂$.

band gap of $ZrO₂$ is approximately 5.0 eV and $ZrO₂$ can absorb light below 248 nm [13] (main line spectra at 237.8 and 240.0 nm from the high-pressure Hg lamp). The peaks of the UV absorption spectra of HCO_3^- and $CO_3^2^-$ ions are both at 193 nm; however, the absorption coefficient of CO_3^2 ⁻ is tenfold larger than that of HCO₃⁻. Moreover, the shape of the absorption spectrum of $CO₃²⁻$ is broader, and the threshold of the spectrum extends to longer wavelengths than that of $HCO₃$. Table 3 shows the rates of gas evolution over $ZrO₂$ suspended in pure water on UV irradiation through several solution filters. These solutions were passed through the water cooling jacket of the inner irradiation-type reactor. The activity decreases with an increase in concentration of the carbonate salt and with an increase in pH. A blank test (UV irradiation of $Na₂CO₃$ or NaHCO₃ solutions without $ZrO₂$) was carried out and no evolution of $H₂$, $O₂$ or CO was observed. From these results, it is suggested that, at higher concentrations of carbonate and pH in the reaction solution, the amount of light absorbed by $ZrO₂$ is smalle^{\sim}, and the rate of gas evolution decreases.

Table 3

| Rate of gas evolution over $ZrO2$ suspended in pure water on UV irradiation | |
|---|--|
| through several solution filters | |

^a The solutions were passed through the water cooling quartz jacket of the inner irradiation.type reactor. The cooling solutions were recycled through a thermostatic batch. $ZrO₂$ (1.0 g) was suspended in pure water (350 ml).

In the case of NaOH addition (Fig. $5(c)$), the optimum concentration is almost the same as for NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃; however, the activity reaches zero on addition of more than 0.45 mol of NaOH in 350 ml of water. The UV absorption of NaOH solution above 200 nm is negligible. $ZrO₂$ itself is unchanged in NaOH solution because, after photoreaction, the $ZrO₂$ used in concentrated NaOH solution shows the usual activity in pure water. The effect of a high concentration of NaOH on the photodecomposition of water has been reported, i.e. the activities of NiO_x-SrTiO₃ [4], NiO_x-TiO₂ [3] and $RhO_x-SrTiO₃$ [5] increase on addition of a large amount of NaOH, in contrast with $ZrO₂$. It is speculated that NaOH may have a positive effect on the loaded metals, such as NiO_x and RhO_r, rather than the semiconductors, because high concentrations of NaOH depress the activity of $ZrO₂$.

For the other salts, such as $Na₃PO₄$, $Na₂HPO₄$, $NaBO₂$ and Na2SO4, the UV absorption of the solutions above 200 nm is negligible. The rate of gas evolution over $ZrO₂$ catalyst increases slightly on addition of these salts up to the saturated condition.

3.4. Fmwtions of the carbonate ions

The photocatalytic decomposition of water over $ZrO₂$ proceeds even in pure water, whose conductivity is very low, suggesting that the activity is not related to the conductivity of the solution. Therefore it can be speculated that the transfer of electrons (e^-) and holes (h⁺) occurs on the ZrO₂ surface. Therefore the surface condition is important for the activity.

The possibility that many anions, such as $HCO₃$, $CO₃$ ², PQ_4^3 ⁻ and HPO₄²⁻, are adsorbed on the ZrO₂ surface under our reaction conditions has been mentioned previously from the results of the zeta poten;ial measurements. Furthermore, adsorbed species on $ZrO₂$ under a $CO₂$ atmosphere are observed by FT-IR spectroscopy as shown in Fig, 9. The absorption at 1618, 1431 and 1221 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to bicarbonate species $[19]$, and thus the $ZrO₂$ surface easily adsorbs bicarbonate under a $CO₂$ atmosphere. In the case of NaHCO₃ solution, $CO₂$ gas is evolved from the solution as a result of the following equilibrium

wavenumber $/$ cm -1

Fig. 9. FT-IR spectrum of surface species on $ZrO₂$. CO₂ and water were introduced (10 Torr respectively) to the IR cell.

$$
2\text{HCO}_3^- \Longleftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \tag{5}
$$

Therefore it is suggested that the $ZrO₂$ surface in NaHCO, aqueous solution is covered with bicarbonate species at neutral pH, and these play a specific role in the reaction mechanism.

The presence of carbonate ions in the solution leads to a constant gas evolution rate vs, the pressure of the reactor, it is speculated that the surface carbonate species may have two functions: the inhibition of $O₂$ photoadsorption to the ZrO, surface due to steric hindrance by the adsorbed anions, and acceleration of O_2 desorption from the ZrO_2 surface. However, the former function seems to be a minor factor because the adsorption of phosphoric and sulphate anions, which have very bulky structures, on $ZrO₂$ has little influence on the activity in $Na₃PO₄$, Na₂HPO₄ and Na₂SO₄ solutions. Taking into account the specificity of carbonates, the latter seems to be a more probable function, i.e. the desorption pathway of $O₂$ may change in the presence of carbonates. Eriksen and Lind [20] studied the behaviour of $HCO₃$ and $CO₃$ and cals in NaHCO₃ aqueous solution (0.1 mol 1^{-1} , pH 8.2) using a pulse radiolysis system. They demonstrated that most OH" radicals were consumed by $HCO₃$, and that the self recombination of $CO₃$ ⁻ radicals to form peroxycarbonate had a negative apparent activation energy. $Na₂C₂O₆$ was synthesized from $Na₂CO₃$ and $H₂O₂$ at low temperature [21], and the UV absorption spectrum of an $Na_2C_2O_6$ solution is shown in Fig. 8, The threshold of the absorption spectrum is 330 nm. Vigorous O_2 evolution from the Na₂ C_2O_6 solution is observed on UV irradiation. Furthermore, the peroxycarbonate can also be synthesized by electrolysis of highly concentrated carbonate aqueous solutions at low temperature [22]. From these results, we propose the following reaction mechanism via carbonate radicals and peroxycarbonate.

A hole (h^+) reacts with bicarbonate species on the $ZrO₂$ surface (HCO₃^{$-$}(a)) and bicarbonate radicals (HCO₃^{$*$}(a)) are formed. This reaction accelerates the charge separation of e^- and h^+ , because the surface HCO_3^- anion is a good trap for h^+ . The HCO₃'(a) radical dissociates into H^+ and $CO₃[•]$ (a) radical. Two $CO₃[•]$ (a) radicals couple to give the peroxycarbonate species $(C_2O_6^{2-} (a))$, which is easily decomposed into O_2 and CO_2 by reaction with h⁺ or photons, yielding desorption of $O₂$ gas from the surface. After gas desorption, the $CO₂$ gas soon dissolves and is converted to $HCO₃$ ". The O₂ desorption process by Eq. (9) is irreversible, whereas that of Eq. (2) is reversible. Therefore the desorption of O_2 via peroxycarbonate is much easier than O_2 desorption via other peroxide species vs. the photoadsorption of $O₂$.

$$
h^+ + HCO_3^-(a) \longrightarrow HCO_3^+(a) \tag{6}
$$

$$
HCO3(a) \iff H+ + CO3••(a)
$$
 (7)

$$
2CO_3^{\bullet-}(a) \longrightarrow C_2O_6^{\,2\,\circ}(a) \tag{8}
$$

$$
C_2O_6^{2-}(a) + 2H^+ \longrightarrow O_2 + 2CO_2 \tag{9}
$$

3.5. Effect of Pt loading on ZrO₂ catalyst

For $TiO₂$ and SrTiO₃, it is essential to load metals or metal oxides, such as Pt, $RuO₂$ and NiO_x, onto the semiconductors in order to achieve overall water splitting. In the case of H_2 evolution from sacrificial reagent solution, such as methanol solution, loading of a metal on the semiconductor also increases the activity. The loaded metals accelerate the charge separation and decrease the overvoltage for $H₂$ evolution [23,24]. Pt is very popular because of the small overvoltage for H_2 production. However, the activity of ZrO_2 is not increased by Pt loading as shown in Table 4. Pt- $ZrO₂$ suspended in pure water exhibits no activity because a fast backward reaction occurs on the Pt particles. The Pt- $ZrO₂$ catalyst leads to the decomposition of water on addition of a large amount of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃; however, Pt-ZrO₂ in NaHCO₃ solution shows a lower activity than $ZrO₂$ without Pt under the same conditions. The activity of $ZrO₂$ in Na₂CO₃ solution is increased slightly on loading with Pt. A mixture of Pt-ZrO₂ (0.5 g) and ZrO₂ (1.0 g) catalysts was suspended in pure water and irradiated, but no activity was observed. This result suggests that the gases evolved from some $ZrO₂$ particles can be easily reacted to form $H₂O$ at Pt on other catalyst particles. Therefore it is concluded that sufficient depression of the backward reaction on Pt is essential to achieve overall water splitting in the presence of Pt-Ioaded semiconductor catalysts, and that the depression of the backward reaction is one of the most important functions of $NaHCO₃$ and $Na₂CO₃$.

Fig. 10. XPS of Pt $4f_{3/2}$ and $4f_{7/2}$ over Pt(3 wt.%)-ZrO₂ after photoreaction in several solutions (0.33 mol per 350 ml of water): (a) pure water; (b) NaHCO₁; (c) $Na₂CO₃$, References: (d) PtO₂; (e) Pt black.

Table 4 Rate of H_2 and O_2 evolution from several solutions over ZrO_2 and Pt(0.1) wt.%)- $ZrO₂$

| Catalyst | Additive | Rate of gas evolution (μ mol h ⁻¹) | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | | Amount (mol) | Н, | о, | CO | |
| None | NaHCO ₁ | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ZrO ₂ | None NaHCO ₁ Na ₂ CO ₃ | œ 0.33 0.33 | 72 309 142 | 36 167 75 | 0 3.0 0 | |
| $Pt-ZrO2$ | None NaHCO ₁ Na _c CO ₁ | - 0.33 0.33 | tr 120 179 | 0 61 88 | 0 0 0 | |
| $ZrO2$ + Pt-ZrO ₂ [*] | None | 969 | ŧr | 0 | 0 | |

Catalyst. 1.0 g; water, 350 ml; inner irradiation quartz cell; high.pressure Hg lamp (400 W).

⁴ Catalyst was a mixture of Pt(0.1 wt.%)-ZrO₂ (0.5 g) and ZrO₂ (1.0 g).

The state of the Pt particle on $ZrO₂$ suspended in several concentrated solutions was measured by XPS. Fig. 10 shows the XPS of Pt $4f_{5/2}$ and $4f_{7/2}$ over Pt(3 wt.%)--ZrO₂. After photoreaetion for 3 days in several solutions (0.33 mol per 350 ml of H₂O), these samples were filtered, washed well and dried. The amount of Pt loading was 2.9 ± 0.1 wt.% confirmed by XRF. The spectrum of Pt in pure water agreed with that of Pt black (zero valent metal). The existence of $Pt⁰$ causes the fast backward reaction in pure water. However, on addition of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃, the peak attributed to the metallic state decreases and a higher valent peak increases. It is considered that part of the Pt on $ZrO₂$ exists in the oxide state (PtO_x) in concentrated and high pH solutions.

Platinum accelerates H_2 evolution due to the decrease in the overvoltage for H_2 production and the promotion of charge separation; however, the backward reaction to form water from H_2 and O_2 is also accelerated. The activity of water splitting is determined by the retio of the forward and backward reactions. The promotional effect of Pt loading on $H₂$ evolution from methanol solution as hole scavenger is small for $ZrO₂$ compared with TiO₂ [11]. Pt-ZrO₂ suspended in pure water shows no activity because most of the Pt exists as metallic Pt^0 which is very active for the backward reaction. For Pt-ZrO₂ suspended in Na₂CO₃ solution, the backward reaction is suppressed because the Pt surface is oxidized as shown in Fig. 10. Therefore the activity is increased slightly by Pt loading because the charge separation is promoted. However, the activity of $ZrO₂$ suspended in NaHCO₃ solution is decreased by Pt loading because part of the Pt exists in the metallic state.

In the case of $TiO₂$ catalyst, it is considered that charge separation is promoted by Pt loading because electrons migrate from the conduction band of $TiO₂$ to the loaded Pt However, in the case of $ZrO₂$, it is speculated that the barrier height of the Pt-ZrO₂ junction is higher than that of the Pt- $TiO₂$ junction because the difference between the Fermi level of Pt and $ZrO₂$ is large [25]. Therefore the migration of electrons from $ZrO₂$ to Pt is rare and the promotional effect of Pt loading is small. If electrons can be supplied sufficiently to Pt from ZrO_2 , oxidized PtO_x may not exist. It is inferred that PtO_x may be produced by migration of holes in the valence band of $ZrO₂$. The potential of the valence band of $ZrO₂$ is very positive (approximately 4.0 eV vs. NHE, pH 0). and the hole in the valence band can oxidize Pt sufficiently. In the case of the $NiO_x-SrTiO₃$ photocatalyst, the oxidation of loaded nickel during the photoreaction has been reported [26].

The reason why PtO_x is more stable in Na₂CO₃ and NaHCO₃ aqueous solution than in pure water is unclear. It is speculated that the oxide types are changed by the pH and concentration of the solution. For example, P_1O_2 is stabilized by alkali ion, and the reduction of $P₁Q$ by pure $H₂$ gas at room temperature shows an induction period of several seconds because the rate of H_2 adsorption on the oxidized PtO₂ surface is very slow [27l.

4. Condusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the results of this study.

(1) Both $HCO₃$ ⁻ and $CO₃$ ²⁻ anions dramatically improve the activity of the photocatalytic decomposition of water over ZrO_2 ; however, other anions, such as $PO₄³⁻$, $HPO₄²$, $BO₂⁻$, $SO₄²$ and Cl⁻, have little effect on the activity. A high pH promotes increased activity, with the exception of the carbonate salt systems, The influences of alkaline cations and the conductivity of the solution on the activity are negligible,

(2) The rate of gas evolution is affected by the total pressure in the reaction system, This behaviour can be explained on the basis of the photoadsorption of $O₂$ and gas evolution accompanied by bubbles. However, the addition of NaHCO $_3$ or $Na₂CO₃$ leads to a constant rate of gas evolution vs. reactor pressure. The maximum activity of $ZrO₂$ is obtained in 0,034 mol 1^{-1} NaHCO₃ aqueous solution. The surface of ZrO₂ is covered with bicarbonate anion species, which are effective traps for holes. It is considered that this species on ZrO. promotes O_2 desorption via carbonate radicals and peroxycarbonates,

(3) The effect of Pt loading on $ZrO₂$ on the activity is negative for the following two reasons. Firstly. the backward reaction (H₂O formation) on metallic Pt is a serious problem. Secondly, the high barrier of the ZrO_2 -Pt junction prevents electron transfer from $ZrO₂$ to Pt. The sufficient depression of the backward reaction on Pt is essential for the simultaneous evolution of H_2 and O_2 . Highly concentrated NaHCO₃ or Na_2CO_3 solutions stabilize the oxide state of the Pt surface to avoid the backward reaction.

References

- [I] T. Kawai and T. Sahara, Che~ *Phys. Lea., 72 (1980)* 87.
- [2] K. Yamaguchi and S. Sate, J. Che~ See., *Faraday Trans. L 81 (1985)* 1237; S. Sate and J.M. White, *Chem. Phys. Lea., 72 (1980)* 83.
- [3] A. Kudo. K. Domen, K. Mmmya and T. Onishi, *Chem. Phys. Late, 133* (1987) 517.
- [4] K. Domen, S. Naito, T. Onishi and K. Tamaru, *Chem. Phys. Lea.,* 92 (1982) 433; K. Domen, A. Kudo and T. Onishi, *J. Carol., 102 (* 1986} 92; K. Domen, A. Kudo, T. Ontshi, N. Kosugi and H. Kuroda, *J. Phys. Chem, 90 (1986)* 292.
- [5] J. Lehn, J. Sauvage, R. Ziessel and L. Hilaire, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 22 (1982) 168; J. Lelm, J. Sauvage and R. Ztes.~l, *blear. J. Chim., 4 (1980)* 623.
- [6] A. Kudo, A. Tanaka, K. Domen, K. Maruya, K. Aika and T. Onishi, *J. Catal., ¹¹¹* (1988) 67; A. Kudo, K. Sayama, A. Tanaka, K. Asakura, K. Domen, K. Maruya and T. Onishi, *J. Catal., 120* (1989) 337; K. Sayama, A. Tanaka, K. Domen, K. Maruya and T. Onisht, *J. Phys. Chem.,* 95 (1991) 1345.
- [7] Y. Inoue, T. Kuboknwa and K. Sate, *J. Chem. \$oc., Chem. Commun.,* (1990) 1298; Y. Inoue, T. Kubokawa and K. Sate, J. *Phys. Chem.. 95* (1991) 4059.
- [8] Y. lnoue, T. Nilyam& Y. Asal and K~ Sate, *J.* Chem. Sac., *Chem. Commun.. (1992)* 579.
- [9] K. Sayama and H. Arakaw~, *J. Chem. See., Chem. Commun.,* (1992) 150.
- [10] K. Sayama and H. Arakawa, *Chem. Lcu.. (1992)* 253.
- [I I] K, Sayama and H. Araimwa, *J, Phmochem, Photobiol. A: Chem.. 77* (1994) 243.
- [121 K, Say~a,a and H. Arakawa, *J. Phys. Chem., 97 (1993)* 531.
- [13] P. Clechel, J.R. Martin, R. Olier and C. Vallouy, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris,* 282C (1976) 887.
- [141 H.P. M~ruska and A.K. Ghosh, *Solar* Energy. 20 (1978) 443.
- [15] H.H. Kung, H.S. Jarret and A. Ferretti, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 48 (1977) 2463.
- [16] J.R Harbour, J. Tromp and M.L. Hair, *Can. J. Chem., 63* (1985) 204.
- [17l J. Kiwi and M. Gralzel, *J. Phys. Chem., 88 (1984)* 1302.
- [18 | J.C. Escudero, S Cervera-March. J. Gimenez and R. Slmarro, *J. Catal..* 123 (199f|) 319.
- | 19] A.M Turek, I.B. Wachs and B. IkCanto, *J. Phys. Chem., 96 (1992)* 5000.
- [20] T.B. *Eriksen and J. Lind, Radiat. Phys. Chem., 26* (1985) 197.
- [21l D.P. Jone.s and W.P. Griflith, *J. Chem. Sac., Dalton* Trans..(1980) 2526.
- [22] A.K. Melnikov, T.P. Firsova and A.N. Molodkina, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* 7(1962) 637.
- [23] M. Grätzel, *Energy Resources Through Photochemistry and Catalysis*. Academic Press, Hew York, 1983.
- [241 T. Sakela, K. Hashimoto and T. Kawai, *J. Phys. Chem., 8g (1984)* 5214.
- [25l H.H. Kung, H.S. lancet, A.W. Sleight and A. Feneni, *J. Appl. Phys.,* 48 (1977) 2463.
- [26] K. Domen, A. Kudo and T. Onishi, *J. Catal.. 102* (1986) 92; K. Domen, A. Kudo. T. Onishi, N. Kosugi and H. Kuroda.J. *Phys. Chem..* 90 (1986) 292.
- [27] R, Adams, V, Voorhees and R.L. Shriner. *Org. Symh., I (1948)* 463.